

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/902,924	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LAU ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jeffrey C. Mullis	<b>Art Unit</b> 1711	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Jeffrey C. Mullis.

 (2) Sandra Thompson.
**Status of Application:** ALLOWED

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 January 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_.

**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

none

**Claims discussed:**

none

**Prior art documents discussed:**

none

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 1711

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' attorney was contacted to correct the Description of the Drawing as in applicants' preamendment since the preamendment did not specifically give instructions as to where and how the Description was to be amended and in any case was not entered. Applicants' attorney agreed to correct the Drawing description by Examiner's amendment. .